I’m very glad to be invited to speak at IBBY, particularly on this conference theme of *how stories and books can cross boundaries and migrate across cultures*. That invitation may be no surprise given my background and the kind of books that I create, typically dealing with these themes quite directly, although almost subconsciously as recurring objects of fascination: colonization, migration, translation, language difficulties and cultural differences… often featuring creatures with tentacles or strangely shaped heads, though arguably (hopefully) no less serious than any other presentation. It could actually be said that all artists and writers are preoccupied with these themes to different degrees, expressed in individual styles. The crossing of boundaries is, after all, fundamental to storytelling. It’s also a universal condition of being alive, which I’ll consider here by reflecting on my own small corner of experience as a creator of picture books, graphic novels and other illustrated stories.

For a start, I’m very fortunate to have my work translated into many languages, straddling different nations and cultures. It’s also worth noting that my stories find themselves straddling different reading cultures too, ranging from this one – children’s literature – through the orbit of science fiction, intersecting with fine art, film, theatre and comics; and ‘migrating’ through genres from fantasy to social realism. They are also enjoyed by people of different ages, representing another kind of crossover. That is, when we talk about different cultures, we should include the differences between childhood and adulthood along with all gradients in between, as ‘cultural’ differences. So there are all these various groups that exist beyond geographical or linguistic boundaries, which can be categorized all the way down to personal private universes. We are all practicing members of our own cultures, subcultures, and micro-subcultures (our private imagination), each looking for suitable translations, and illustrated books are very good at crossing divides.

That said, I’ve never set out to deliberately be cross-genre, international or even intergenerational (like many other illustrators, I rarely think about the age of my readers). I’m too preoccupied in quite a narrow way at the drawing table to think about what happens once anything leaves that table. In fact I’m quite self-absorbed, even self-indulgent, which is almost necessary to some
extent in any artistic practice, a certain introspective focus to the exclusion of other concerns. Maybe because of that I’m also not terribly interested in categories: I just try to make each story or image as engaging as possible, appealing to all parts of my own nature: a child, an adult, a rational critic, an emotional being, an often confused person who doodles, writes and paints as a way of figuring things out.

The theme of migration also relates to my personal background, as I’m a part-Chinese, Malaysian, Irish and English person from Perth, Western Australia. Although my work is not especially autobiographical and doesn’t dwell on these facts (which I grew up regarding as uninteresting) I suspect there is actually a strong indirect effect of this heritage. Namely that I’m routinely attracted to ideas of belonging, difference, and the conceptual boundary between what is familiar or ‘normal’, and what is exotic, or ‘weird’.

Coming from ‘Nowhere in Particular’

It’s important to mention that I grew up in a place that seemed known to the rest of the world only if there was a shark attack, a city that otherwise sat quietly on the edge of a far southern continent, between the Indian Ocean and a desert interior four times the size of Texas, and that’s even before you reach the state border. I wrote about my impressions of this homeland recently as a preface to a recent exhibition of paintings, many as a fairly aimless painter in my early 20s, and appropriately entitled ‘Suburban Odyssey’: a journey you have when you’re not really going anywhere. The curator asked me what my memories were of growing up in Perth, and I wrote this:

Long, hot afternoons, wide and empty suburban streets, the drawl of crows, ocean air, unfiltered light, home and school: a feeling of being somewhere and nowhere at the same time. Our family did not travel extensively so my memory of Hillarys, the northern suburb in which I spend most of my childhood and adolescence, lacked much in the way of outside definition. It was a kind of *sui generis* bubble, which may be true of many people's childhood homes, where things just *are*. Perhaps more so in a place that was still being invented, with bulldozers working away at the coastal dunes, literally paving the way for new roads, shops, schools and homes throughout the late '70s and '80s. The world I grew up in was one still being manufactured.

If there were any parameters here they weren't cultural or historical, but those of the bush, the ocean and the sky. It seemed that any fresh brick
veneer inevitably bled away into an ancient tangle of scrubby trees and even language was doomed to evaporate under the sun. You could almost feel the dissolution of meaning as you walked from the suburbs to the beach, something we did routinely as kids, sensing the fragility of our somewhat artificial lives. The receding street signs, named after famous explorers - Flinders, Cook, Banks - seemed out of place, like so many front lawns that survived only by virtue of plastic reticulation, the water coming from far away. The same was true of our decidedly nonindigenous culture.

By comparison the surrounding coast was mysterious and everlasting (or so it seemed at the time). The old tuart trees were shaggy giants that crawled with prehistoric bugs and other nameless things. It may be that this was one thing that attracted me to painting and drawing as a child, the fact that you can represent things without words, which sometimes seems a more accurate or realistic means of expression. I still feel that way when painting as an adult, occasionally reluctant to add a title to a picture in case it creates too much of a boundary.

So Perth generally felt like a peripheral place not just physically but also in a lot of other conceptual ways. Peripheral in a positive way, implying great possibility and opportunity, and Perth is a microcosm of Australia that way, especially when compared with older cities and nations. There's a certain license to muck about in the backyard, invent your own meaning, and this was especially important when I began to think more seriously about writing and painting in my late teens and early twenties, and transforming some of that imagery later into stories.

This idea of being ‘peripheral’ has always been important to me, as I think it probably is for most creative people. It’s actually much easier to cross boundaries or enjoy internal migrations of the imagination when there’s not much in the way of fencing or you feel, whether by circumstance or your own volition, like a bit of an outsider already.

A Multicultural Family

It may be useful here to also say a little about my mixed-race race family, which was quite an unusual thing for Hillards at the time (much less so now, which is a positive development). My father is Chinese, his parents being
migrants to Malaysia from China, and it wasn’t until very recently that my Dad visited his cultural homeland as a tourist (something I’ve yet to do). My mother is Australian, which is also something of an insufficient description: more specifically a third generation Australian of English and Irish ancestry, but has never visited the northern hemisphere. Interestingly, my great grandfather was sent to Australia as a child orphan with no recorded background, not an uncommon story. My father never intended to stay in Australia, but then he met my Mum, who worked in a store where he bought a pen. Our whole lives are built on such accidents. In any case, it felt very normal to be growing up in a place to which none of us had any deep historical footing, and the sandy soil of Hillarys has excellent drainage and sunlight strong enough to bleach memory. Being both culturally and genetically ‘across boundaries’, ‘transitional’ or ‘multicultural’ is a default position and nothing special, especially in Australia, a culture of multiple heart transplants and constantly shifting identity.

As a child I did feel a bit of an outsider – well, don’t we all? In my case, I can lay claim to being unusually small. (I only once met a kid of the same age who was shorter than me on a softball team, and was rather disappointed to learn that he was an actual dwarf!) More problematic was a simmering racism in suburban WA during the 1980’s, when it was not uncommon to hear or see spray-painted the slogan ‘Asians Out’. All this meant in practice was that bullies didn’t even have to try to think of a flaw when it came to Asian kids, just being Asian was bad enough. Which is insulting on many levels – ideally your enemies should work hard when it comes to finding a flaw. (Interestingly, my father suffered from the nickname ‘Big-Eye Kee’ in his home village, due to having very big round eyes for a Chinese boy.) Over time this improved, but I think it did motivate me to gain some respect through drawing and writing, or at least some precocious power of language, to try and be ‘smart’. And being automatically outside the forum of cool you can actually enjoy being odd or unusual, given that being normal is not an option. And my friends did appreciate that – especially a talent for drawing spaceships and monsters, which proved to be highly popular.

Even though I didn’t think about any of this much at the time, in retrospect it seems that my childhood landscape and family have a big subconscious part to play in the subjects of my books, paintings and film design many years later. Particularly the subject of cultural dislocation, which seems to emerge again and again like a recurring dream.

It’s also worth mentioning that my wife is Finnish, and we live in Melbourne, in a street seemingly ruled by patrolling Greek & Italian pensioners, and share the house with Diego, a free-range Brazilian parrot, and two crazy budgies (our only indigenous residents, Snowball and Filip). All of
which seems completely normal. This mixed family has done much to inspire recent stories, including both *The Arrival* (in which you can see the likenesses of Inari and myself, and a creature somewhat like Diego), and stories such as *Eric*, the very quiet foreign exchange student, based on an experience with Finnish friend, or *No Other Country* about an Italian migrant family with a portal to the ‘old country’ in their ceiling space, inspired by ethnic neighbours.

**Arbitrary Realities**

If the play between art and life has taught me anything, it’s that there is not really any such thing as ‘normal’. The ordinary, the everyday, quotidian, usual, banal, familiar, commonplace, straightforward and average – all these things are a kind of illusion, one especially suffered by adults who are too adept at categorizing experience, or those unfortunate souls obsessed with monoculturalism, and doomed to suffer all manner of debilitating prejudice. Meanwhile, the world continues every day to be as strange and miraculous as it as when we first saw it as toddlers, although that’s not always so easy to notice this with age.

Culture, nature, family, belief, work, play, language, all these things are flexible realities, something we realise especially when we travel overseas, and discover that the commonplace is exotic and the exotic is commonplace, depending on what side of the tour-bus window you happen to be sitting on. One of the great gifts of travel, multiculturalism, and other boundary-crossing – including of course reading – is that your own culture, lifestyle and language is suddenly not so absolute, normal, righteous or sacrosanct, it’s just another way of thinking and existing, based on historical accidents that mainly happened before you were born.

The first time I arrived in London, I had a sense of this very strongly. This was my first independent trip to another country at the age of 22, during a very formative period as a young artist. I’d just finished my first picture book and was contemplating a second, *The Rabbits* written by John Marsden, about the colonization of Australia by the British, and uncertain about both this quite difficult concept and, more broadly, what I was really doing as a career (I did the first tentative sketches for *The Rabbits* during a crossing in the ‘Chunnel’, which in retrospect seems highly appropriate.)

Walking around, especially suburban London, I could see first-hand the genetic blueprint for most Anglo-Australian housing, food, language and manners, things only seen peripherally through all the BBC shows I enjoyed on Australian TV. But it was all slightly different, not better or worse, neither ancestral or descendent. Just different. Not a ‘mother country’ at all, but an
alternative cousin, very much a weird parallel universe with charming accents. (I of course don’t have an accent… Australians are far too laid back to cultivate such affectations!) It did make me wonder why we still have a British Queen as our head of state, a person that doesn’t even live in our hemisphere, but I can only emphasise once again that reality is often arbitrary and bizarre.

Elemental Commonality

Cultural difference is fun and interesting for its own sake, but it also can tell us a lot about ourselves as human beings. That is, looking at so many different ways of thinking and living inevitably provokes a question of intersection. Among these variations, what do we all have in common? What binds us in the most elemental ways and perhaps defines our humanity? Where is the ‘train station’ through which all these cultural railways pass?

These might seem like big philosophical questions, but they need not be presented in big philosophical ways. In fact, they come up all the time at a modest scale, especially in literature for young people. Here we are compelled to consider elemental things all the time and at it’s best this literature asks very profound questions in a way that is disarming, entertaining and even silly, much as children do.

Interestingly, writers and artists (like children) don’t do this by examining life reductively, the way that you might pull a clock apart, boil chemicals down to a periodic table or crack a DNA code to say ‘look at these fundamental building blocks’. Instead we try to do what evolutionary nature does, experimenting with constant sideways variations on existing things, testing to see if anything clicks. Creating small other universes that hopefully intersect in an unusual or surprising way with our own real world, like so many species of beetle studied by Charles Darwin, each further questioning and defining an essential idea of ‘beetle-ness’.

My own illustrated fiction offers an example I’m best qualified to talk about, particularly *The Arrival*. This book more or less began as a grand ambition to tell a universal migrant story. In early notes I explained to my editor that I wanted to ‘distil’ multiple anecdotal histories I was researching – across many countries and centuries – into a single story featuring a generic everyman protagonist. That was the initial guiding concept: distillation. In practice, however, it seemed impossible to think about so many real-life tales reductively. They were all too unique and diverse, ranging from Asia to the Middle East to Europe to Australia; from 19th Century mass migrations to contemporary refugee crises; from young children to the elderly; the unskilled and educated, rich and poor; the persecuted, the adventurous, and also
accidental migrations. Many different reasons for changing lives and many different outcomes, both positive and negative. There were common elements certainly – homesickness, family, strange food, language, work – but how do you show the essence of these things in a way that’s interesting, and not too abstracted or simplistic. In other words, how to make it feel real and honest?

Certainly I realised that some reduction was necessary, an ‘elemental’ approach that involves stripping back reality. In this case, removing words, character identity, any precise notion of time or place, and also hovering between realism and the dreamlike softness of drawing. I realised that all these things allowed the reader to interpret the story in their own way, and at their particular pace or level of understanding. But what is most interesting to me as a creator is the parallel complexity of the new universe then presented, that the best way to be ‘truthful’ is to sometimes go in the opposite direction: fantasy.

The place I thought of as ‘The New Country’ ended up being rich in all sorts of details, so is not a boiled-down version of real history at all. It’s a sideways history, an alternate universe that we might usefully compare to our own, and consider the common intersections of feeling, what we might do as international travelers, and instinctively as readers. City streets in the book are complex and alien but also familiar, so we recognize pathways, shops, vehicles and other necessities of a working community. Language is detailed but indecipherable; the difficulty of it draws focus on the things that are most important to us, the essential need to communicate basic questions and answers. The weirdness of new-world food – how it looks, is acquired, prepared and eaten – reminds us that our own ‘ordinary’ culinary rituals are actually pretty strange. And the problems of working life as an illiterate migrant seem more acute when they are odd; to be chased by a large reptile while delivering parcels, for instance, might best illustrate a lapse in education or street-wisdom.

So it is that through strangeness we arrive at a kind of clarity, like looking at things from a distance. This idea also extends in The Arrival to a number of short back-stories that illustrate social or environmental crises. Rather than representing these in symbolic or simplified ways, I’ve imagined parallel realities that may or may not have specific meaning, from black serpents swimming in the air to masked giants looming over burning towns. These are as bewildering to the reader as they might be for the characters in the story, and perhaps offer some idea of what it is like to live through traumatic historical events as they unfold, without the security of omniscience or hindsight.

I quickly realised that instead of focusing on things that made sense, trying to simplify some universal migrant experience, trying to understand
everything, the best thing to do is simply focus on strangeness, dislocation and complexity. In other words, trying to make a world as befuddling as our own would be to any new immigrant, to just imagine what that is like. And above all else, to never actually explain anything.

Bewilderment is not a bad thing: it can often bring out the best in us. It galvanizes our natural human ability to draw sense from a puzzle, to use our imagination, rather than lean upon received knowledge or wisdom get us through. We also need to trust and respect the creative abilities of other people, and I do consider readers of my work as co-creators, needing to invest meaning into illustrative stories that are really half-finished, deliberately incomplete. Surrealism has often felt very useful to me for this reason. If handled carefully it can get closer to reality rather than further away, more or less by ‘waking us up’ from the complacency of ordinary recognition. We begin to appreciate ordinary reality as not so ordinary.

**Childhood and Wisdom**

Of course, we all know surrealism very well, because when we were very small, pretty much everything was surreal (we tend to forget how mystifying even the most basic things used to be) and we had to constantly use our imagination through play to test ‘alternate realities’, to accumulate stable references, ideas that would stick together as useful patterns of meaning and agency. So much childhood play is, on some level, a kind of intensive mental laboratory where we hone our understanding. As we figure things out, we become better at communicating, organizing thoughts, discerning value and gaining wisdom, all of which is essential.

However, the cost of such education can sometimes be intellectual complacency, as if learning is something you do to graduate from one level to the next (which is often how we misunderstand schooling): having figured something out, we shut down the laboratory and move on, as if we are filling up an archive, learning tables or marking off entries in a ledger. Life can lose some of its magic that way by creeping degrees, and a kind of ‘closed reading’ can supplant imagination altogether.

This is a problem examined in *The Lost Thing*, a story that could also (in this context) be looked at as a set of interesting questions about critical literacy – not to mention things with tentacles. It’s the first story I entirely wrote and illustrated, and probably still one of my best because it’s so simple: a curious boy stumbles upon a nameless ‘thing’ in a world that has no place for it. Spurred on by this dilemma he experiences a brief adventure, trying to solve
the question ‘where does it belong?’ After a series of setbacks, an unusual solution is found: a traditional picture book structure if ever there was.

There is of course a deeper question going on, which I only realised after I’d written the story (as is usually the case). That is, why do we feel compelled to ask or answer a question of belonging at all? Why do we crave a ‘right place’ for this lost thing? This gets back to my own ideas about critical literacy, that there is a lot more to reading – a book, picture or the world at large – than simply asking the right question or finding the right answer, because there is no right answer in this case.

The best anyone can do, whether the character in the story or the reader, is to just ask good questions, remain receptive, and know that there might not be any predictable solution. Instead, we are free to imagine all possible meanings and actions. I myself do not know what the lost thing is or where it belongs, but I still enjoy the mystery of this, the same way I enjoy the mystery of a rock, tree or bird, even after it has been fully ‘explained’.

On the other hand, the citizens of the fictional city in The Lost Thing don’t need to worry about mystery. They have, arguably, a very organized understanding of their world. Everything has a meaning, a place of belonging, a consensus of value, and there is even a ‘Federal Department of Odds and Ends’ to comfortably take care of any miscellaneous abnormalities, a world of closed reading that’s actually very functional, even comforting. However, it’s also bleak and ludicrous: it has given birth to a mechanized landscape that can only serve its own bureaucratic purpose. People maintain the city and the city maintains the people, and they all do it so efficiently that any question of meaning or higher purpose is simply redundant. Imagination is unnecessary. Art, music, and other purposeless activities do not exist.

I actually drew upon two sources of inspiration for this little universe. The first was ‘economic rationalism’, a popular concept in Australia at the time of writing The Lost Thing in the late ‘90s, where moral considerations are put aside in favour of more quantifiable economic outcomes (similar to Thatcherism), things that can be measured. Who would guess that such an ideology could be so artistically inspiring? Which brings me to my second, even less colourful source of interest: a set of old physics and mathematics books from my father’s time as an engineering student. I wondered what it would be like if this was the only kind of literature in the world. That is, absolutely practical, meaningful and purposeful stuff, but also (like economic rationalism) lacking a certain humanism. There’s certainly nothing wrong with either economic or engineering reductionism, but a society based on these things exclusively would not be very open-minded.
Enter into this universe a large red tentacled thing: a *lost* thing. The boy (who like the main character in *Arrival* is modeled after myself, here as a teenager) is faced with an obvious problem. He does not have any idea what to do with a useless creature; on the other hand, he feels he must do *something*. It’s a dilemma I often confront in my own life, and part of the writing process involves examining that anxiety.

And it’s the kind of dilemma that is the basis for most stories. What to do about a thing that you don’t entirely understand? Do you ignore it, become fearful or regard it with compassion, participate in an unknown risk, and to what degree? And this is where a more expansive idea of visual literacy is useful, and where crazy stories such as this one might transcend amusement and have quite a lot to do with real-world parallels: meeting new people, encountering new situations, dealing with cultural difference, pausing to consider your own motivations and fears. In order to deal with a lot of these things, simple recognition or comprehension might not be enough, and neither instruction manuals or ideological rules can really prepare us for many of life’s hook turns.

**A Note on Visual Literacy**

As an illustrator I’ve often come across the phrase ‘visual literacy’, which might also include art appreciation or criticism, and is generally very useful. Sometimes it’s presented as a kind of deconstruction exercise, where meanings and artistic intentions are identified, subtexts and ideologies unpacked and so on. Such decoding can be an important skill, but I don’t think it’s necessary unless you’re an academic. Far more interesting for the average reader are two very simple questions about any story, painting, or indeed life experience:

*How does it make me feel? What does it make me think about?*

That covers two basic aspects of any aesthetic experience, feelings and ideas. In good art, these go hand in hand, so that meaning comes primarily from feeling and free-association, and need not be terribly privileged or cloistered knowledge. It’s available to anyone who permits him or herself to be open to it.

The real answers are therefore not in the work, a story or picture, but in the readers’ contemplation of their own reactions to that work. This simple realization can open up a lot of things for a lot of people, and also diminish a great deal of intellectual anxiety about reading or looking at images. You need never panic in front of a blotchy abstract painting, a peculiar arthouse film, or an obscure picture book if you keep in mind that your own thoughts and
feelings are the most important subject of analysis, not those of the artist. It
doesn’t mean that all opinions are equal, but that they should at least be very
personal.

That’s also great for me, as an author and illustrator, because I only have
to worry about making the most interesting stories and pictures I can imagine,
and don’t need to dwell upon what they might ultimately mean, or what kind of
message I’m imparting – a big relief! These things are left for the reader to
decide in their own way, especially given that every reader is a unique person,
and mostly unknown to me. They might be of any age, nationality, background,
subculture or education level.

Even when I may have made particular references or allusions to other
paintings or literary works (as in *The Rabbits*, which borrows compositions
from old colonial paintings) it’s not important that the reader actually know
about these. What matters most is that a certain feeling is conveyed (such as the
vague impression of an old colonial painting) something that’s much harder to
academically footnote, and more likely to send thoughts trailing off in more
directions than a deconstruction might allow. A good image must always
remain a bit strange, a bit hard to circumscribe, yet open to all.

**Confronting Strangeness**

The question of a personal response in the face of strangeness is one I find
endlessly fascinating, and lies at the core of everything I’ve produced, and am
likely to produce until I fall off the perch or take up golf. That is, do we
respond to strangeness positively, negatively or not at all? And how do the
unexpected transitions in our lives affect our thinking, or give us cause to
reflect upon our values?

All of my own stories illustrate various reactions that are possible when
dealing with incomprehensible events. In *The Rabbits*, there are mixed
reactions to the arrival of colonial creatures from a distant shore, where
violence gradually supersedes curiosity, with very negative consequences. In
the story *Undertow*, a dugong (a marine mammal), appears on a neighbour’s
front lawn one morning. Some characters are angry about this, some are
concerned only with keeping the animal safe until emergency services arrive,
while others long for a deeper knowledge of what has occurred. In *The
Nameless Holiday*, people must offer a personal belonging to a giant reindeer
that visits their roof, and are then left to consider whether it was worth
exchanging a material object for an immaterial experience, is this positive or
negative? In the story *Eric*, a quiet foreign exchange student is not so interested
in the things his host family finds compelling, and exhibits strange habits. How
are they to react to this? With irritation or tolerant amusement? When a tiny red
tree starts growing in the middle of a bedroom floor at the end of The Red Tree,
it might be approached with either optimism or trepidation.

The questions in each story really begin as questions for myself. As
someone who lives a relatively stable, largely non-transitional life, I often have
trouble digesting challenges, and am no more open minded or experienced than
anyone else. But art and literature offer a constant reminder to not take
wisdom, experience or comfort for granted, and that I probably know and
understand a lot less than I think. I create stories from rumination on problems
rather than any desire to communicate a ‘message’, which is why I willfully
avoid didactic tales. I’m interested in elaborating an issue by presenting it in an
intriguing way, not necessarily in offering a solution.

Internal Migrations

Coming back to the theme of the conference of crossings, translations and
migrations, it’s worth looking at this from a very personal, internal perspective.
That is, while we all go on outward journeys, whether crossing a kitchen floor
or relocating overseas, we also have many internal journeys all the time. In
fact, in our lives there are several selves, and a spectrum of memorable
transitions from childhood to adulthood, where our circumstances, thoughts,
feelings and beliefs are subject to change. That can even happen in a year,
week or day: small ‘internal migrations of the soul’, each experience and
thought crossing yet another boundary. And of course reading is a part of that
process, being solitary, private and deeply contemplative.

Stories offer us frameworks for reflecting on transitional experiences
because they are always about transitional experiences: growth, trauma,
discovery, transformation, destruction and creation, journeys big and small. I
think good stories are those that teach us to expect these changes, to embrace
new and unknown things with empathy, curiosity and imagination, rather than
hope everything will stay the same and that the world will continue to be
‘normal’ and understandable. It might be nice if it did, but let’s face it, highly
unlikely.

It’s important especially for young people to remain aware of this, both
for pleasure in the moment of reading or living, and as future adults. A lot of
the negative forces currently shaping our world are, when you think about
them, a rejection of exactly those values that reading and critical / visual
literacy might embrace: empathy, curiosity and imagination. Is it any
coincidence that the most prejudiced people, fundamentalists, oppressors and
the willfully ignorant prefer ‘common sense’ to imagination, and almost always
Privilege ‘obvious truth’ over speculation, preferring ‘answers’ to questions? Is it also any coincidence that the best scientists, artists, business or community leaders throughout history are often the first to admit the limits of wisdom, to openly say ‘I don’t know’ and more than willing to consider oppositional ideas? As Einstein put it simply ‘imagination is more important than knowledge’. Imagination keeps asking good questions, even after knowledge is acquired; it offers endless opportunity for growth. The unknown must remain as interesting to us as the known.

**Embracing the Unexpected**

It’s also worth observing that key moments of transition or migration, whether external or internal, are usually quite unexpected. In his book ‘Black Swan’, philosopher Nassim Nicholas Taleb suggests that big turning points in our lives are typically unplanned, from a terrorist attack to falling in love. They are wildcard unknowns, much like the sighting of a black swan at a time when it was a clearly proven fact that all swans were white. Not only did black swans not exist, the very idea of them did not. (Interestingly, the Western Australian state flag is composed of two symbols: the union jack and the black swan, which I quite like!) Big conceptual shifts – often alarming or exciting shifts – remind us that change is the only constant and our best literature reflects this, from Gilgamesh to Charlie and the Chocolate Factory. Each tale poses an endlessly interesting question: when expectation is challenged or equilibrium disrupted and we find ourselves floundering in new territory, what do we do? Do we act well or badly? And how does this define who we are, as characters in our own story?

Transitions and migrations bring out our best and our worst. As Taleb suggests, personality might be less defined by so-called normal life than how we behave in an unusual situation (all storytellers know this intuitively too). In simplest terms, when faced with the unexpected, we might respond with either apathy, prejudice or curiosity. Of course the last one offers the only source of genuine hope: curiosity is really a kind of empathy, a will to find ‘otherness’ actually interesting rather than problematic, whether that be a person from another culture, a political idea, a tentacled creature, or any much smaller day-to-day encounter with the unanticipated.

And this is where literature as a play of words and pictures moves beyond entertainment, acknowledging the flexibility of reality, the actual pleasure of realizing how limited our knowledge might be, and the ensuing pleasure of speculation. Good fiction also reminds us that we are not alone in this sea of questions. I notice this especially when hearing responses to *The
*Red Tree* and *The Arrival* in particular, where readers express strong feelings of identification with characters who are lost or emotionally capsized. Children often comment that they enjoy *The Red Tree* because it ‘feels real’, which might seem odd for such a weird-looking book, but I think they like the unvarnished admission that loneliness and confusion are inevitable facts. And similarly, I receive a lot of feedback from migrants, both young and old (some of whom cannot read English), that certain sequences in *The Arrival* are remarkably accurate to their personal experience, even though, of course, it’s set in an entirely imaginary and even implausible world, not to mention a very flat and papery one. Yet the feelings of dislocation and uncertainty represented through this sideways medium are actually realistic (being based on real life stories gathered during my initial research).

What I think these readers are responding to, along with myself when drawing or writing, is a simple acknowledgement that our day to day circumstances are basically quite mysterious and weird, and that we are all adrift to some extent. But that’s okay, because our compass bearing – especially when things get choppy – is a deeper humanity, as it always has been: a confidence in our adaptable imagination, a willingness to learn, and an ability to read the world as if it were just another crazy story in a book, one that’s being continuously written and illustrated as we travel from one unexpected thing to the next.